Leadership Effectiveness in Remote and Hybrid Work Environments: Adaptive Practices and Lessons from the Post-COVID Workplace

*Kampamba Malaila
ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-4825-3322
Graduate School of Business, University of Zambia
Email: kampmalaila@yahoo.com

Abubaker Qutieshat
Dental Education, Dentistry, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD1 4HN, Scotland, UK
ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3569-6576
Email: aqutieshat@dundee.ac.uk

*Corresponding Email: kampmalaila@yahoo.com

Abstract: The COVID-19 pandemic reshaped the global workplace, prompting a re-evaluation of leadership models grounded in physical presence and direct supervision. This study examines what constitutes effective leadership in remote and hybrid environments by drawing on recent scholarly research on post-COVID organizational dynamics. Using an integrative qualitative review, the paper identifies core practices that support productivity, trust, equity and well-being in dispersed teams. Three leadership qualities emerge as essential: adaptability, emotional intelligence and purposeful, multimodal communication. These capabilities help leaders maintain cohesion, mitigate exclusion and support fairness across varied work arrangements. The analysis further highlights the growing significance of digital governance, the use of ethical technology and transparent performance systems in reducing proximity bias and strengthening accountability. Leaders who promote humane workload design, encourage recovery time and prioritize mental health contribute meaningfully to team resilience. Overall, effective leadership in contemporary hybrid settings balances competence with empathy, and structure with flexibility, offering practical guidance for cultivating inclusive, ethical and high-performing distributed teams.

Keywords: Adaptive leadership; remote and hybrid teams; digital governance; trust and inclusion; employee well-being; performance management.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic fundamentally changed how organizations operate around the world. Within a matter of months, millions of employees shifted to remote and hybrid work arrangements once seen as temporary. Brynjolfsson et al. (2020) described this shift as "an unplanned global experiment" that disrupted long-standing leadership models built on physical presence, direct oversight and face-to-face communication. Suddenly, leaders had to redefine what effective leadership meant in a world where teams were dispersed and connected mostly through screens.

Before the pandemic, leadership was often measured by visibility being physically present, maintaining close interpersonal relationships and exercising direct control (Bartsch et al., 2021). However, the sudden move to remote work revealed how limited those assumptions were. Many leaders found it difficult to sustain team engagement, coordination and accountability without traditional tools of oversight (Carnevale &

Hatak, 2020). While some organizations adapted quickly with flexible, trust-based strategies, others struggled with falling morale and performance. These contrasts show that in hybrid and remote environments, leadership depends more on adaptability, empathy and communication than on control.

This growing demand for flexibility has revived interest in adaptive leadership, a model centered on responsiveness, continuous learning and shared problem-solving, especially in uncertain conditions (Heifetz, 1994). Adaptive leaders encourage collaboration and help teams navigate unfamiliar challenges without relying on fixed solutions (Afsar et al., 2022). Alongside adaptability, emotional intelligence, the capacity to understand and manage one's own emotions and those of others, has become vital for keeping virtual teams connected and motivated (Goleman, 2020). In hybrid settings, emotionally intelligent leaders create a sense of trust and psychological safety, improving cohesion and performance, despite physical distance (Avolio & Sosik, 2022).

While research on remote leadership has expanded, much of it treats leadership, technology and well-being as separate themes. Studies often explore digital tools or employee burnout in isolation, without linking these to leadership practices that help hybrid systems succeed (Nguyen & Cheng, 2024). Most insights also come from high-income countries, raising questions about how applicable they are elsewhere. Tis gap in understanding forms the basis for this study, which seeks to explore how adaptability, emotional intelligence and digital responsibility come together to shape leadership in a changing world.

The pandemic also spotlighted the importance of employees' well-being. Prolonged use of online platforms blurred boundaries between work and home, leading to fatigue and isolation (Green et al., 2020). Leaders were challenged to combine technological competence with empathy and mental health awareness. Research shows that leaders who communicate clearly, offer emotional support and respect personal boundaries can reduce burnout and maintain team motivation (Tannenbaum et al., 2023; Rudolph & Zacher, 2022). Balancing performance with well-being is now central to effective leadership.

Moving forward, effective leadership means more than oversight and authority. It requires guiding teams through complex, tech-driven environments while fostering fairness, trust and connection (Kim & Kwon, 2023). Today's leaders must balance accountability with compassion, building systems that support efficiency without neglecting human needs. As Nguyen and Cheng (2024) emphasize, technology-based leadership must be grounded in principles of transparency, ethical use and mutual respect to build lasting trust in hybrid teams.

Organizations like Microsoft, GitLab and Buffer offer practical insights. By adopting flexible schedules, asynchronous collaboration and well-being initiatives, they managed to maintain engagement and accountability (Lal et Al., 2022; Green et al., 2020). Still, these examples have not been fully integrated into leadership theory. In response, this study sought to bridge the gap, showing how adaptive leadership and emotional intelligence can drive effective team performance in the post-pandemic world.

Review of Literature

This section reviews existing research on leadership in remote and hybrid work settings. It traces how leadership models have evolved, highlights key challenges faced by distributed teams and explores how technology, trust and well-being shape leadership effectiveness. The review also identifies gaps in current scholarship.

Evolution of Leadership Paradigms in Remote and Hybrid Contexts

Over time, leadership styles have moved from a top-down, authoritative approach to a more flexible, participatory system. The global disruption caused by COVID-19 rapidly accelerated this change. Organizations began to evaluate what makes effective leadership in decentralized contexts (Bartsch et al., 2021; Brynjolfsson et al., 2020). In pre-pandemic office environments, leadership was largely associated with control and presence. In contrast, the post-pandemic workplace has shifted the focus away from formal power and control to adaptability, empathy and digital fluency (Avolio & Sosik, 2022).

Heifetz (1994) still has a point with the adaptive leadership model. Leading through uncertainty involves shared problem-solving and the support of learning through failure (Afsar et al., 2022). In the same manner, transformational leadership by Bass and Riggio (2006) values inspiration, personal support and intellectual challenge. In the context of

dispersed teams, these characteristics have been vital to sustaining morale (Contreras et al., 2020).

Other contemporary leadership frameworks like authentic, servant and learning-oriented leadership highlight values like humility, integrity and the collaborative spirit of growth (Northouse, 2021; Dirani et al., 2020). Collectively, these models articulate one central theme: in contemporary leadership, the balance of power has shifted from the provision of control to the management of emotions, relationship and situational appropriateness.

Challenges of Managing Distributed Teams

Remote and hybrid work arrangements have altered how leaders think about task management, relational and procedural equity. In a virtual environment, the challenges of ambiguity, exclusion and miscommunication are heightened (Carnevale & Hatak, 2020). Misunderstandings become more frequent with the absence of nonverbal cues; digital communication fatigue can lead to decreased engagement (Green et al., 2020). Leadership requires a transition from task supervision to outcome evaluation while independence and responsibility are oscillated (Nguyen & Cheng, 2024). Concerns about equity and fairness are perennial. Remote employees report feeling invisible, which can decrease motivation and lead to disengagement (Lal et al., 2022). Hybrid teams are susceptible to presence bias, where decision-making favors employees with physical attendance (Tannenbaum et al., 2023). Inclusive leaders meet this challenge with frequent touchpoints, active listening and egalitarian recognition systems (Caligiuri et al., 2020). The absence of cultural homogeneity may further complicate matters, as distributed teams often function across national and organizational cultures that differ in norms relating to authority, hierarchy and communication (Gilson et al., 2021). In Africa, particularly, Western leadership models may be complemented, or replaced by ubuntu and other community-oriented frameworks. (Nkomo, 2011). Leadership requires a thoughtful amalgamation of global and local strategies.

The availability of technology greatly influences engagement. As noted by Rudolph and Zacher (2022), inadequate internet access or instruments affects employees within developing regions and can lead to inequitable positioning. Leaders that tailor expectations and promote advocacy to maintain equity and morale contribute to this perspective. Research suggests that trust-oriented leadership extended to communication and goal sharing improves efficacy within geographically dispersed work settings (Golden & Gajendran, 2019).

Technology, Trust and Digital Governance

Modern work environments are largely built on technology. Though technology enhances integration and connectivity, it also poses risks like privacy issues, overload and burnout (Ninaus et al., 2021). Leadership in this space requires not only the technical ability but also the digital stewardship to make sure that technology is serving the people and not the other way around (Leonardi, 2021). Trust is essential and in virtual teams, it is built not through physical presence but through consistency, justice and transparency in communication (Golden & Gajendran, 2019). Therefore, leaders should set realistic goals and boundaries and ask everyone to join the relevant talks (Caligiuri et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021).

Issues surrounding digital governance are still nascent. There is little to no guidance in many organizations on the ethical use of technology, privacy and data surveillance (Nguyen & Cheng, 2024). The absence of transparency and consent may lead to a breakdown of trust. Leaders must ensure equitable and respectful use of technology.

Connections among digital leadership, innovation and sustainability continue to strengthen. Balancing ethical workplace data use helps decision-makers clarify outcomes while upholding the boundaries of individual privacy (Leonardi, 2021). Leaders with digital skills empower followers and promote continuous learning rather than the conventional top-down control (Northouse, 2021). Microsoft and GitLab have become successful examples in this area. Both hybrid their robust digital infrastructures and highly trust-based cultures (Microsoft, 2021; GitLab, 2020). Leaders who emphasize equity and a human-centric approach to the use of technology can maximize the potential of digital tools.

Leadership and Employee Well-Being

It is essential to prioritize workplace well-being rather than treat it as an afterthought. The COVID-19 pandemic increased disconnection, stress, burnout and blurred the lines between one's professional and personal life (Kniffin et al., 2021). Protecting performance entails safeguarding mental health (Rudolph & Zacher, 2022). Such empathy requires moral responsibility and organizational flexibility. Leaders who help employees emotionally

and support restorative breaks foster team resilience (Tannenbaum et al., 2023; Green et al., 2020). Emotional intelligence and psychological leadership are critical during crises. Goleman (2020) and Clarke (2010) demonstrate how emotional intelligence improves psychological safety and balances leadership during challenging times.

Well-being also holds strategic value. Adaptive leaders build trust, foster autonomy and encourage creativity—all of which engage employees (Afsar et al., 2022). By practicing self-care and transparency, leaders cultivate collective commitment (Dirani et al., 2020). Buffer and Microsoft exemplify this in their flexible workplace policies and wellness programs (Buffer, 2021; Microsoft, 2021). Many organizations, however, still perceive well-being as an issue with short-term remedies. Embedding well-being into long-term structures—through training, policies and performance systems—is vital (Kniffin et al., 2021; World Economic Forum, 2022). Compassion and justice must underpin ethical leadership as this is amplified in emerging economies, where resource inadequacies and stress are prominent. Caring leadership in this context becomes a necessity and a source of resilience.

Despite increasing interest in leadership within remote and hybrid settings, several gaps remain in the literature:

1. Much of the existing research is drawn from high-income countries, where digital infrastructure and leadership cultures differ significantly from those in developing regions (Bartsch et al., 2021; Kim & Kwon, 2023). As a result, the evidence base remains geographically unbalanced, with limited insights from African and other low-income contexts. This restricts the applicability of current findings to environments such as Zambia, where cultural values, technological constraints and resource limitations shape leadership dynamics in distinct ways.

2. Most studies addressed leadership traits, such as adaptability, emotional intelligence or digital competence in isolation. While each trait is important, few studies explored how they work together to shape leadership effectiveness in distributed teams (Afsar et al., 2022; Clarke, 2010). This fragmented approach overlooks the complexity of hybrid work environments, where success often depends on the interplay of multiple leadership capabilities.

3. Digital governance remains underdeveloped in leadership theory. Although issues like surveillance and data ethics are increasingly relevant, they are typically discussed from technical rather than relational or ethical perspectives (Nguyen & Cheng, 2024; Leonardi, 2021). Leadership research has yet to fully address how transparency, fairness and consent influence trust in digital settings.

4. Employees' well-being is still treated as a short-term response to crisis rather than a sustained leadership responsibility. Many interventions are reactive and fail to consider how staff well-being can be embedded into long-term strategy, policies and performance systems (Kniffin et al., 2021; Tannenbaum et al., 2023).

5. African leadership perspectives remain underrepresented in existing research. As Nkomo (2011) notes, dominant leadership models often overlook relational values such as ubuntu and collective responsibility, which are central to many African contexts. These perspectives are relevant to the study of remote and hybrid leadership because cultural expectations influence how employees interpret trust, communication and authority, making their inclusion important for applicability in settings such as Zambia.

This study, therefore, responds to these gaps by examining how adaptive leadership, emotional intelligence and digital responsibility intersect to influence trust, performance and well-being in remote and hybrid teams, particularly within a developing-country context.

Methodology
Design
This study adopted a qualitative, literature-based research design utilizing an integrative review approach. The design was chosen to systematically analyze and synthesize existing empirical and theoretical studies on leadership effectiveness in remote and hybrid work environments, following the COVID-19 pandemic. Unlike empirical research that collects primary data, this study examined existing scholarly works to identify theoretical

developments, patterns and conceptual gaps. The integrative review method combines systematic search rigor with the qualitative thematic interpretation, providing a comprehensive understanding of emerging leadership dynamics. According to Snyder (2019), integrative reviews are suitable for consolidating fragmented evidence while Booth et al. (2016) emphasized that they enable scholars to evaluate and combine findings from diverse methodologies. Torraco (2016) adds that such designs are particularly relevant in dynamic contexts, where understanding change requires synthesizing past and recent literature.

Population and Sampling
The population of this study comprised published academic literature on leadership effectiveness, remote and hybrid work, digital governance and employees' well-being in post-pandemic contexts. A purposive and criterion-based sampling approach was employed to ensure inclusion of most relevant and credible studies. Searches were conducted across major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, SAGE, Emerald Insight, Taylor & Francis and ScienceDirect.

Boolean combinations of search terms guided the process, such as leadership effectiveness, remote work, hybrid work, digital leadership, post-COVID organizations and employee well-being. To guarantee methodological rigor, inclusion and exclusion criteria appear in Table 1.

An initial yield of 78 studies was reduced to 42 final publications after removing duplicates and applying quality filters. The selected studies represented multiple geographic and organizational contexts, enhancing the transferability of insights.

Table 1: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Peer-reviewed journal articles and book chapters published between 2019 and2024.	Opinion pieces, blogs or media articles lacking methodological rigor.
Studies focusing on leadership, hybrid work or employee well-being.	Works focusing solely on technological processes without leadership context.
English-language sources accessible in full text.	Non-English or restricted-access materials.

Data Collection Methods
The study relied on two primary sources: peer-reviewed academic literature and industry or organizational white papers. A systematic literature review approach was used to collect relevant studies that met predetermined quality standards and conceptual alignment with the study focus. The data collection process entailed the following steps:

1. Identifying literature focusing on leadership within remote or hybrid work environments published between 2019 and 2024.
2. Including empirical studies, theoretical papers and best-practice case reports that explored leadership adaptation during or after COVID-19.
3. Prioritizing publications retrieved from Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar and reputable business journals, such as the *Harvard Business Review* and *Journal of Business Research*.
4. Extracting relevant information concerning leadership models, management challenges, digital adaptation and workforce well-being.

Through the systematic review, data was gathered to inform the theoretical development of the study, which examined evolving leadership models, managerial challenges in virtual contexts and the changing nature of work.

Instruments
To organize and evaluate the data collected from literature, the following instruments and frameworks were applied:

1. Database search strings and Boolean operators enabled structured and replicable literature searches.
2. A data extraction matrix developed in Microsoft Excel was used to document study details, authors, publication year, context, theoretical framework and findings.
3. The Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP, 2020) checklist was used to assess the methodological quality and credibility of each selected study.
4. NVivo (version 14) software, combined with manual coding, facilitated thematic clustering and identification of recurring ideas.

Analysis of Data

The selected studies were analyzed using the qualitative thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke's (2021) six-phase model. This analytical procedure enabled the systematic identification and interpretation of relevant themes within the literature through:

1. Familiarization: Intensive reading of all selected studies to identify central ideas.
2. Initial Coding: Highlighting concepts linked to adaptive leadership, digital collaboration, and well-being.
3. Theme Development: Grouping similar codes into broader thematic categories.
4. Theme Review: Refining and consolidating themes for clarity and coherence.
5. Theme Definition: Labeling and defining each theme to capture its conceptual meaning.
6. Interpretation: Synthesizing themes to develop an integrated understanding of leadership effectiveness in remote and hybrid contexts.

Ethical Considerations

Since this study relied exclusively on secondary data drawn from published literature, no human participants were involved and therefore, formal ethical clearance was not required. However, the study upheld principles of academic honesty, intellectual property protection and integrity in scholarship. All sources were appropriately acknowledged and cited in accordance with the APA 7th Edition referencing guidelines.

Findings and Discussion

This section presents the findings of the study, guided by specific themes that emerged.

Redefining Leadership Effectiveness in Remote and Hybrid Work

COVID-19 pandemic marked a paradigm shift in the understanding of leadership effectiveness. Prior to the pandemic, effective leadership meant overseeing, controlling and even factoring in the physical presence of the employees as supervision was predominantly in-person. The global shift to remote work, however, profoundly altered that view, and a focus on trust, flexibility, communication and empathy became paramount (Dirani et al., 2020; Carroll & Conboy, 2020).

Modern leaders are now expected to build trust and relational ties with followers in the absence of physical proximity. Savić (2020) pointed out that remote work undermined traditional power and control dynamics, offering leaders the opportunity to adopt more flexible and participative leadership frameworks. With the physical and psychological distance, leaders of such virtual arrangements place high focus on motivational leadership and high performance on the span of control. The provision of authentic leadership, routine interaction and a shift to team-focused resources improves structural cohesion (Contreras et al., 2020).

The crisis also highlighted the need for leaders to demonstrate flexibility and adaptability. The uniquely defined and unfolding crisis imposed on leaders the need for quick decision-making across all levels of their organizations to ensure operational continuity and hand-implemented integration (Carnevale & Hatak, 2020). The rapid structure shift and decision-making required flexibility, dominating innovation and impact of engagement in building resilience.

At the same time, digital competence has become indispensable. Research by Raišienė et al. (2020) and Lal et al. (2022) asserts that tech-savvy leaders are able to sustain productivity, facilitate teamwork and streamline communication within remote contexts. However, possessing digital skills is insufficient. Most successful leaders combine technological proficiency with emotional intelligence, forging and nurturing bonds of empathy despite physical separation.

Overall, the pandemic shifted the leadership paradigm from one centered on monitoring and control to one focused on relationship building, trust and flexibility. Today's modern leader is less defined by positional authority and more by their capacity to lead with authenticity, manage ambiguity and communicate purposefully in digital and hybrid spaces.

Adaptive Leadership Practices

The changing nature of work has elevated the importance of adaptive leadership practices that support trust, employees' well-being and performance. Literature increasingly points to communication, emotional intelligence and adaptability as the most vital traits of successful leadership in hybrid organizations.

Trust and Communication

For remote teams, establishing trust hinges on open and coherent communication strategies. Leaders

must pay additional attention to fostering a connection at the psychological and emotional levels, especially because these teams lack informal relations common in workplace settings. Tannenbaum et al.'s (2023) study found that communication routines, such as systematic virtual check-ins, team feedback meetings and integration of remote team-building activities, were crucial in the fight against disengagement and social isolation. Trust was built as leaders communicated in good faith and encouraged joint participation (Dirani et al., 2020).

Digital Competence and Adaptability

Competence in digital technologies promotes flexibility and creativity. Adaptive leaders utilize digital technologies to create new methods for the organization to not only manage operations but also redesign workflows, promote innovation and synchronize objectives amidst dynamic change (Avolio et al., 2021). Leaders open to constant learning and new technologies enable their teams to maintain agility and competitiveness (Carroll & Conboy, 2020). The ability to shift to new paradigms is critical, especially in contexts characterized by ongoing digital change.

Emotional Intelligence and Employee Well-being

The value of emotional intelligence in leadership has become paramount in the context of remotely distributed work environments. Leaders who possess emotional self-awareness and empathy can alleviate stress, aid in the maintenance of team cohesion and foster support and inclusiveness (Coronado-Maldonado et al., 2023. As noted by Mortensen and Gardner (2021), the practice of empathetic leadership in psychologically safe work environments diminishes burnout and aids in the retention of employees. In a similar vein, and irrespective of limited hands-on leadership proximity, emotionally attuned leaders provide psychological belonging and trust (Newman et. al, 2022).

Balancing Performance and Humanity

In today's world, leaders must weigh performance expectations alongside authentic considerations for people's humanity. Research by Wang et al. (2021) and Wang et al. (2021) argued that organizational long-term health affected by employees' well-being should be considered as primary and not secondary. Leaders who effectively incorporate caregiving, flexibility and coaching into their strategies foster

creativity and inspire deep and enduring loyalty. Adaptive leadership, therefore, involves an equally challenging dual commitment of achieving desired results and maintaining an empathetic and respectful atmosphere.

Applying Post-Pandemic Lessons to Strengthen Leadership Effectiveness

The experience of the COVID-19 pandemic has produced valuable lessons for strengthening leadership through ethical, responsible and sustainable practices. These insights point to the growing importance of moral reflection, inclusivity and continuous learning in leadership development.

Ethical and Responsible Leadership in Technology-Mediated Work

The quick transition to online operations created additional concerns regarding ethics, especially regarding privacy and autonomy. Responsible leaders consciously make moral choices regarding the use of technology. Leaders operate and keep the digital order but, at the same time, ensure the stewardship of fairness and dignity in the digital cosmos. Trust and organizational credibility can be fostered by open and honest dialogue regarding the use of data and monitoring as well as the digital limits. While technological gaps represent only part of the challenge, responsible leaders must work to close these disparities to prevent exclusion in digital work environments. Most developing contexts contain gaps in technological access that can lock out entire social groups (United Nations Development Program, 2024). Ethical leadership should promote policies that support participation in virtual teams as well as digital inclusion and the necessary capacity to diffuse remote access.

Institutional Learning and Organizational Adaptation

Organizations that successfully navigated the pandemic commonly integrated learning into their leadership frameworks. Carnevale and Hatak (2020) noted that leading institutions are adopting self-leadership, mentoring and wellness components into their leadership training. These practices foster and improve leaders' competency to manage disruption. Learning and growth create a constructive base that enables perpetual adaptability and resilience.

Leadership and Sustainable Culture

Ethical leadership aids in the establishment of organizational policies rooted in integrity and long-

term sustainability. According to Miska et al. (2021), leaders who demonstrate fairness, transparency and accountability in their dealings, and thus, in their leadership roles, also contribute to the wider transformative cultural change. Leadership must not be confined to crisis reactive measures. Proactive transformational leadership focuses on the establishment of systems and cultures of inclusion, trust, and purpose. Organizations of enduring resilience are those in which leadership practices the alignment of innovation and prevailing organizational values that encourage caring for both the people and the performance of the organization.

Implications of the Findings

The findings have meaningful implications for leadership practice, theory and policy in the context of remote and hybrid work. The COVID-19 pandemic not only reshaped how organizations function but also redefined expectations around what makes leadership effective. Understanding these implications helps bridge the gap between academic research and the real-world challenges that leaders continue to navigate.

Practical Implications

From the practical perspective, the combination of emotional intelligence, flexibility and digital proficiency as core facets in effective leadership in the present day is non-negotiable. Leaders who display a combination of empathy and technological proficiency are more likely to gain the trust of collaborative dispersed teams and sustain their commitment to high performance. Communication, especially when it is consistent, unambiguous and inclusive, is of central importance. Therefore, organizations must provide leadership training that incorporates the principles of emotional sensitivity, relational transparency and intercultural communication. Moreover, training must incorporate creation of psychologically safe spaces and the promotion of well-being of team members. Evidence abounds that the most effective leaders, the ones who compassionately drive performance, build resilient and loyal teams. Hence, HR policies and leadership evaluation must incorporate empathy, inclusion and values-driven action as core leadership competencies. Workplace leadership post-pandemic must center around trust, equity and a sense of collective responsibility to safeguard morale and productivity.

Theoretical Implications

Theoretically, the findings underpin the importance of adaptive, transformational and responsible leadership frameworks and how they apply to digital and uncertain contexts. Adaptive leadership provides the needed capacity to help followers traverse changes. Transformational leadership offers emotional bond and the vision while responsible leadership offers ethical dimension and the inclusion of stakeholders. Together, they provide a holistic view of leadership in the complex and evolving work settings of today. This study further advances the leadership theory by coupling emotional intelligence with digital competence as theory constituents. In the context of remote leadership, a leader's emotional insight determines the extent to which technology is employed to connect, direct and help a colleague. Future concepts of leadership must envision emotional and digital literacy as coupled constituents at the core of leadership. Responsible Leadership continues to strengthen as it provides capacity to address ethical and relational aspects of technology gaps, ensuring that change and innovation preserve the integrity of work and the values of the institution.

Policy Implications

The findings suggest more stringent initiatives on the ethical usage of workplace digital technologies. As hybrid working models become a norm, organizations need to formulate clear policies on privacy, digital surveillance and equity in access to tools. Policies of this nature safeguard the employees' autonomy and help build trust in a digital workspace.

Moreover, professional organizations and national institutions ought to incorporate emotional intelligence and ethics of digital leadership into curriculum of executive education and professional certification programs. In the case of developing contexts, this should also include provision of digital tools to centers of leadership to enable inclusive cross-border leadership. As much as there is innovation, there needs to be social responsibility in developing systems of advanced leadership. As strongly put above, leadership in the post COVID world needs to integrate technology, compassion, and moral values. In doing so, leaders are more likely to build cultures that are socially and digitally sustainable.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

The study concludes that in the post-pandemic landscape, leadership effectiveness is no longer defined by physical supervision or positional authority. Instead, it rests on the ability to build trust, communicate clearly and adapt to changing conditions. Influence now stems from relational engagement and contextual awareness.

Emotional intelligence, adaptability and digital competence have emerged as core competencies for leading in hybrid environments. These qualities help leaders sustain motivation, foster cohesion and support psychological well-being across dispersed teams.

Adaptive, transformational and responsible leadership theories collectively offer a comprehensive framework for understanding effective leadership in digital contexts. While adaptability supports change and resilience, transformational practices foster inspiration and engagement. On the other hand, responsible leadership ensures ethical and inclusive decision-making.

Ethical considerations, such as privacy, fairness and transparency are central to modern leadership. Therefore, effective leaders must demonstrate integrity in how they use technology, ensuring that trust, equity and accountability are upheld in digital environments.

Sustainable leadership depends on emotional resilience, institutional backing and a commitment to continuous learning. Organizations that nurture learning cultures and support reflective leadership development are better equipped to manage the ongoing challenges of digital transformation.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and corresponding conclusions, leaders should strengthen emotional intelligence, digital fluency and ethical awareness to guide teams effectively in digital environments. Organizations need to develop clear policies on technology use, privacy protection, communication, and employee well-being. Policymakers should promote digital equity and ethical standards for remote and hybrid work. Training institutions need to incorporate adaptive, transformational and responsible leadership principles into development programs. Finally, researchers should examine the long-term psychological, relational and ethical effects of hybrid leadership to inform future practice and strengthen the evidence base for organizational decision-making. This will generate reliable insights that help organizations anticipate risks, design healthier work systems and refine leadership models in ways that support both employee well-being and sustainable performance.

References

Afsar, B., Masood, M. and Umrani, W. A. (2022). Adaptive leadership, work engagement, and creativity: The role of psychological empowerment. *European Management Review, 19*(2), 233–247. https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12484.

Avolio, B. J and & Sosik, J. J. (2022). Remote leadership effectiveness: Exploring the impact of digital communication on team cohesion and trust. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 29(3), 245–261. https://doi.org/10.1177/15480518221093271.

Avolio, B. J., Sosik, J. J. and Kahai, S. S. (2021). Digital mindsets: Leadership and technology in a rapidly changing workplace. The Leadership Quarterly, 32(6), 101563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2021.101563

Bartsch, S., Weber, E., Büttgen, M. and Huber, A. (2021). Leadership matters in crisis-induced digital transformation: How to lead service employees effectively during COVID-19. Journal of Service Management, 32(1), 71–85. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0160.

Bass, B. M. and Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Booth, A., Sutton, A. and Papaioannou, D. (2016). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review (2nd ed.). Sage.

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2021). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. Sage.

Brynjolfsson, E., Horton, J. J., Ozimek, A., Rock, D., Sharma, G. and TuYe, H. Y. (2020). COVID-19 and remote work: An early look at US data. NBER Working Paper No. 27344. https://doi.org/10.3386/w27344,

Buffer. (2021). State of Remote Work 2021: Annual Report. https://buffer.com/state-of-remote-work-2021.

Caligiuri, P., De Cieri, H., Minbaeva, D., Verbeke, A. and Zimmermann, A. (2020). International HRM insights for navigating COVID-19: Implications for future research and practice. Journal of International Business Studies, 51, 697–713. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-020-00335-9.

Carroll, N. and Conboy, K. (2020). Normalising the "new normal": Changing tech-driven work practices under pandemic time pressure. International Journal of Information Management, *55*, 102186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102186,

Carnevale, J. B. and Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: Implications for human resource management. Journal of Business Research, 116, 183–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.037.

CASP (2020). CASP Qualitative Studies Checklist. https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/.

Clarke, N. (2010). Emotional intelligence and its relationship to transformational leadership and key project manager competences. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 25(8), 733–749. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011075269.

Contreras, F., Baykal, E. and Abid, G. (2020). E-leadership and teleworking in times of COVID-19 and beyond: What we know and where do we go. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 590271. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.590271.

Coronado-Maldonado, I., Núñez-Castro, A., Pérez-Cordón, R. and Cifre, E. (2023). Emotional intelligence, leadership, and work teams: A systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, 841512. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy g.2023. 841512.

Dirani, K. M., Abadi, M., Alizadeh, A., Barhate, B., Garza, R. C., Gunasekara, N., Ibrahim, G., & Majzun, Z. (2020). Leadership competencies and the essential role of human resource development in times of crisis: A response to COVID-19 pandemic. Advances in Developing Human Resources, 22(2), 272–284. https://doi.org/10.1177/152342 232 09 74913.

GitLab. (2020). The Remote Work Report 2020. https://about.gitlab.com/company/culture/all-remote/.

Golden, T. D. and Gajendran, R. S. (2019). Unpacking the role of a leader's relational transparency in virtual work. Leadership Quarterly, 30(6), 101393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.07.002.

Goleman, D. (2020). Emotional intelligence. Harvard Business Review Press.

Golden, T. D. and Gajendran, R. S. (2019). Unpacking the role of a leader's relational transparency in virtual work. Leadership Quarterly, 30(6), 101393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.07.002.

Green, P., Hodges, A. and Turner, C. (2020). Virtual leadership: Practical strategies for supporting remote teams. Leadership in Health Services, 33(4), 401–414. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHS-07-2020-0052.

Heifetz, R. A. (1994). Leadership without easy answers. Harvard University Press.

Kim, H. and Kwon, Y. (2023). Reimagining leadership for hybrid work: The balance of empathy and accountability. Journal of Business Strategy, 44(1), 15–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-06-2022-0117.

Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., Anseel, F., Antonakis, J., Ashford, S. P., Bakker, A. B., Bamberger, P., Bapuji, H., Bell, B., Bhatnagar, J., Caligiuri, P., Choi, V. K., Creary, S. J., Demerouti, E., Flynn, F. J., Gelfand, M. J., Greer, L. L., Johns, G., Kluger … Vohs, K. D. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: Implications, issues, and insights for future research and action. American Psychologist, 76(1), 63–77. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000716

Lal, R., Chen, M. and Hill, J. (2022). Managing collaboration across distance: Lessons from hybrid teams. International Journal of Information Management, 64, 102478. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102478.

Leonardi, P. M. (2021). COVID-19 and the new technologies of organizing: Digital exhaust, digital footprints, and artificial intelligence in the wake of remote work. Journal of Management Studies, 58(8), 2478–2482. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12648.

Microsoft. (2021). The Next Great Disruption Is Hybrid Work — Are We Ready? Microsoft Work Trend Index. https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/worklab/work-trend-index/hybrid-work.

Miska, C., Hilbe, C. and Mayer, S. (2021). Reconciling different views on responsible leadership: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Ethics, 172*(4), 659–684. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1 0551-020-04461-3.

Mortensen, M. and Gardner, H. K. (2021). WFH is corroding our trust in each other. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2021/01/wfh-is-corroding-our-trust-in-each-other

Newman, N., Fletcher, R., Schulz, A., Andi, S., Robertson, C. T. and Nielsen, R. K. (2022). *Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2022.* Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, University of Oxford. https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/digital-news-report/2022.

Nguyen, L. and Cheng, K. (2024). Responsible leadership in digital organizations: The role of governance and trust. Management Decision, 62(2), 233–249. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-06-2022-0693.

Ninaus, K., Diehl, S., Terlutter, R., Chan, K., Huang, A. and Raban, D. (2021). Technostress and digital communication overload. Computers in Human Behavior, 124, 106905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106905.

Nkomo, S. M. (2011). A postcolonial and anti-colonial reading of 'African' leadership and management in organization studies: Tensions, contradictions and possibilities. Organization, 18(3), 365–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/13 505084 11398731.

Northouse, P. G. (2021). Leadership: Theory and practice (9th ed.). Sage.

Raišienė, A. G., Rapuano, V., Varkulevičiūtė, K. and Stachová, K. (2020). Working from Home—Who Is Happy? A Survey of Lithuania's Employees during the COVID-19 Quarantine Period. Sustainability, 12(13), 5332. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135332

Rudolph, C. W. and Zacher, H. (2022). Leadership and employee well-being in the pandemic context. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 838531. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.838531.

Savić, D. (2020). COVID-19 and work from home: Digital transformation of the workforce. *The Grey Journal, 16*(2), 101–104. URL: https://www.ijrdo.org/index.php/bm/article/view/4312.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Tannenbaum, S. I., Traylor, A. M., Thomas, E. J. and Salas, E. (2023). Managing the human side of hybrid work. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 10, 257–283. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-071622-122246.

Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing integrative literature reviews: Using the past and present to explore the future. *Human Resource Development Review, 15*(4), 404–428. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484316671606.

United Nations Development Program (2024). Digital inclusion in a dynamic world. UNDP. https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/202405/undp_digital_inclusion_in_a_dynamic_world.pdf.

Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J. and Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. Applied Psychology, 70(1), 16–59. https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12290.

World Economic Forum. (2022). The Global Risks Report 2022. Geneva: WEF. https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-risks-report-2022.